

Takesaki-Takai Duality for Crossed Products I: History and Contents

Hiroshi TAKAI

takai@tmu.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University

History I

- Soon after the appearance of Tomita-Takesaki theory for the factors of type III defined by von Neumann, Araki, Connes and Takesaki classified independently them under the existence of periodic faithful normal semifinite weights (71-72).

History I

- Soon after the appearance of Tomita-Takesaki theory for the factors of type III defined by von Neumann, Araki, Connes and Takesaki classified independently them under the existence of periodic faithful normal semifinite weights (71-72).
- Takesaki succeeded to classify them completely by using the great new idea, so-called **Takesaki Duality** for W^* -crossed products (72).

History I

- Soon after the appearance of Tomita-Takesaki theory for the factors of type III defined by von Neumann, Araki, Connes and Takesaki classified independently them under the existence of periodic faithful normal semifinite weights (71-72).
- Takesaki succeeded to classify them completely by using the great new idea, so-called **Takesaki Duality** for W^* -crossed products (72).
- At the almost same time, he conjectured that the duality also held for **C^* -crossed products**.

History II

- Although his conjecture seemed to be similar to W^* -cases at a glance, it really contained a subtle problem comparing with Takesaki duality in W^* -crossed products . Actually, one had to establish a **space free** version by means of representations.

History II

- Although his conjecture seemed to be similar to W^* -cases at a glance, it really contained a subtle problem comparing with Takesaki duality in W^* -crossed products . Actually, one had to establish a **space free** version by means of representations.
- Fortunately, I then had some good informations from the paper of Zeller-Meier although the groups treated there were **Discrete**, which was insufficient solving his conjecture.

History II

- Although his conjecture seemed to be similar to W^* -cases at a glance, it really contained a subtle problem comparing with Takesaki duality in W^* -crossed products . Actually, one had to establish a **space free** version by means of representations.
- Fortunately, I then had some good informations from the paper of Zeller-Meier although the groups treated there were **Discrete**, which was insufficient solving his conjecture.
- I tried to generalize them to the case of **Locally compact** cases, and combining them with the preprint of Takesaki duality, I became to believe that his conjecture was correct.

History III

- After almost 8 months, I thought to succeed solving his conjecture affirmatively and handed my preprint to him.

History III

- After almost 8 months, I thought to succeed solving his conjecture affirmatively and handed my preprint to him.
- In a week or so, he found **a big mistake** in my paper. He explained me in detail that the way I found out was almost approaching to the ending, however the adjoint map I used there worked incorrectly reaching to the final stage. More precisely, the representation I found never splitted into a tensor product one by using the map cited above.

History III

- After almost 8 months, I thought to succeed solving his conjecture affirmatively and handed my preprint to him.
- In a week or so, he found **a big mistake** in my paper. He explained me in detail that the way I found out was almost approaching to the ending, however the adjoint map I used there worked incorrectly reaching to the final stage. More precisely, the representation I found never splitted into a tensor product one by using the map cited above.
- I checked repeatedly the part he mentioned, however I had never reached the conclusion for a long time.

History IV

- After having many discussions with Prof. Takesaki, he suddenly suggested me to consider the reverse way from the final part. Namely, the method he advised was to connect the representation I constructed and a new coming one searched from the tensor product that I expected.

History IV

- After having many discussions with Prof. Takesaki, he suddenly suggested me to consider the reverse way from the final part. Namely, the method he advised was to connect the representation I constructed and a new coming one searched from the tensor product that I expected.
- I immediately followed his advice and executed finding both appropriate representation and unitary, and found them in a short time. Then I finally conquered the most difficult part of his problem.

History IV

- After having many discussions with Prof. Takesaki, he suddenly suggested me to consider the reverse way from the final part. Namely, the method he advised was to connect the representation I constructed and a new comming one searched from the tensor product that I expected.
- I immediately followed his advice and executed finding both appropriate representation and unitary, and found them in a short time. Then I finally conquered the most difficult part of his problem.
- As soon as Prof. Takesaki checked the final version of my preprint, I sent it to both C.R.Acad.Paris and J.Func.Anal., on which it was published (74,75).

History V

- **A special remark** is the following important fact:

History V

- **A special remark** is the following important fact:
- After several months since I published it on C.R.Acad.Paris, I received the Ph.D thesis from M.Landstad who belonged to University of Pennsylvania, in which the same result of the duality for C^* -crossed products was obtained.

History V

- **A special remark** is the following important fact:
- After several months since I published it on C.R.Acad.Paris, I received the Ph.D thesis from M.Landstad who belonged to University of Pennsylvania, in which the same result of the duality for C^* -crossed products was obtained.
- This means that If I had been struggling with the big mistake for half a year more, my present talk is probably never happened.

Contents I

- (Takesaki Conjecture): Let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system with G a locally compact abelian group. Then there exists a dual C^* -dynamical system $(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \hat{G}, \hat{\alpha})$ such that $(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{G}, G, \hat{\hat{\alpha}}) \simeq (A \otimes \mathbb{K}(L^2(G)), G, \alpha \otimes \text{Ad}(\lambda))$ as a C^* -dynamical system, where $\mathbb{K}(L^2(G))$ is the C^* -algebra of all compact operators on $L^2(G)$, and $\text{Ad}(\lambda)$ is the adjoint action of the left translation λ of G on $L^2(G)$.

Contents II

- Let us review briefly how to define C^* -crossed product: let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system where A is a C^* -algebra, G is a locally compact unimodular group and $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ is a homomorphism with the property that $\|\alpha_g(a) - a\| \rightarrow 0$ ($g \rightarrow e$) for all $a \in A$.

Contents II

- Let us review briefly how to define C^* -crossed product: let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system where A is a C^* -algebra, G is a locally compact unimodular group and $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ is a homomorphism with the property that $\|\alpha_g(a) - a\| \rightarrow 0$ ($g \rightarrow e$) for all $a \in A$.
- The C^* -crossed product $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ is defined as the completion of the Banach $*$ -algebra $L^1(G, A)$ with: $xy(g) = \int_G x(h)\alpha_h(y(h^{-1}g)) dh$, $x^*(g) = \alpha_g(x(g^{-1})^*)$, $\|x\|_1 = \int_G \|x(g)\| dg$ with respect to the C^* -norm $\|x\| = \sup_{\pi \in L^1(G, A)} \|\pi(x)\|$.

Contents III

- Let G be amenable as a topological group, namely there exists a G -invariant mean on the C^* -algebra $C^b(G)$ of all bounded continuous complex valued functions on G with sup norm, or the dual space \hat{G} of G is equal to its reduced one \hat{G}_r as a topological space. For example, abelian, compact, nilpotent, and solvable groups are amenable, however the free groups F_n , $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ ($n \geq 2$) are non amenable.

Contents III

- Let G be amenable as a topological group, namely there exists a G -invariant mean on the C^* -algebra $C^b(G)$ of all bounded continuous complex valued functions on G with sup norm, or the dual space \hat{G} of G is equal to its reduced one \hat{G}_r as a topological space. For example, abelian, compact, nilpotent, and solvable groups are amenable, however the free groups F_n , $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ ($n \geq 2$) are non amenable.
- Discrete amenability is much stronger than topological one: for instance, $SO(n)$ ($n \geq 3$) are topologically amenable, but never discrete sense because $SO(n) \supset F_2$.

Contents IV

- Suppose G is amenable and A acts on a Hilbert space H by a representation π , then so does $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(G, H)$ by the induced representation $\text{Ind } \pi$ of π , which is precisely defined by

$$(\text{Ind } \pi(x)\xi)(g) = \int_G \pi(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(x(h)))\xi(h^{-1}g) dh$$

$(x \in L^1(G, A), g \in G, \xi \in L^2(G, H)).$

Contents IV

- Suppose G is amenable and A acts on a Hilbert space H by a representation π , then so does $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(G, H)$ by the induced representation $\text{Ind } \pi$ of π , which is precisely defined by

$$(\text{Ind } \pi(x)\xi)(g) = \int_G \pi(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(x(h)))\xi(h^{-1}g) dh$$

$(x \in L^1(G, A), g \in G, \xi \in L^2(G, H)).$

- $\text{Ind } \pi$ can be viewed as the integrated representation $\bar{\pi} \times \bar{\lambda}$ of the covariant one $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{\lambda})$ of (A, G) with the property that

$$\bar{\lambda}_g \circ \bar{\pi}(a) \circ \lambda_{g^{-1}} = \bar{\pi} \circ \alpha_g(a) \quad (a \in A, g \in G), \text{ where}$$
$$(\bar{\pi}(a))\xi(g) = \pi \circ \alpha_{g^{-1}}\xi(g), \quad \bar{\lambda}_h\xi(g) = \xi(h^{-1}g)$$

Contents IV

- Suppose G is amenable and A acts on a Hilbert space H by a representation π , then so does $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(G, H)$ by the induced representation $\text{Ind } \pi$ of π , which is precisely defined by

$$(\text{Ind } \pi(x)\xi)(g) = \int_G \pi(\alpha_{g^{-1}}(x(h)))\xi(h^{-1}g) dh$$

$(x \in L^1(G, A), g \in G, \xi \in L^2(G, H)).$

- $\text{Ind } \pi$ can be viewed as the integrated representation $\bar{\pi} \times \bar{\lambda}$ of the covariant one $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{\lambda})$ of (A, G) with the property that

$$\bar{\lambda}_g \circ \bar{\pi}(a) \circ \lambda_{g^{-1}} = \bar{\pi} \circ \alpha_g(a) \quad (a \in A, g \in G), \text{ where}$$
$$(\bar{\pi}(a))\xi(g) = \pi \circ \alpha_{g^{-1}}\xi(g), \quad \bar{\lambda}_h\xi(g) = \xi(h^{-1}g)$$

- An important fact is that if π is faithful, so is $\text{Ind } \pi$.

Contents V

- We now assume that G is a locally compact abelian group. Then we consider the the universal representation π of A , which is faithfully acting on the universal Hilbert space H . Then so is its induced one $\text{Ind } \pi$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(G, H)$.

Contents V

- We now assume that G is a locally compact abelian group. Then we consider the the universal representation π of A , which is faithfully acting on the universal Hilbert space H . Then so is its induced one $\text{Ind } \pi$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(G, H)$.
- Let us consider a new action $\hat{\alpha}$ of the Pontryagin dual group \hat{G} of G on $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ defined by

$$\hat{\alpha}_p(x)(g) = p(g)x(g)$$

($x \in L^1(G, A)$, $g \in G$, $p \in \hat{G}$). It serves a new C^* -dynamical system $(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \hat{G}, \hat{\alpha})$, which we call the **dual** C^* -dynamical system of (A, G, α) . MSI – p.11/23

Contents VI

- We then consider its C^* -crossed product, namely $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{G}$. Since $\text{Ind } \pi$ is faithful on $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$, so is the second induced one $\text{Ind} (\text{Ind } \pi)$ acting on the Hilbert space $L^2(\hat{G}, L^2(G, H))$, which is denoted by π_1 .

Contents VI

- We then consider its C^* -crossed product, namely $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{G}$. Since $\text{Ind } \pi$ is faithful on $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$, so is the second induced one $\text{Ind} (\text{Ind } \pi)$ acting on the Hilbert space $L^2(\hat{G}, L^2(G, H))$, which is denoted by π_1 .
- We next construct another representation of the C^* -tensor product $A \otimes \mathbb{K}(L^2(G))$ on the Hilbert space $H \otimes L^2(G \times G)$ via the following process:

Contents VII

- let us take the translation action τ of G on the C^* -algebra $C_0(G)$ of all complex valued continuous functions on G vanishing at infinity, which gives a C^* -dynamical system $(C_0(G), G, \tau)$. We take its C^* -crossed product $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\tau} G$.

Contents VII

- let us take the translation action τ of G on the C^* -algebra $C_0(G)$ of all complex valued continuous functions on G vanishing at infinity, which gives a C^* -dynamical system $(C_0(G), G, \tau)$. We take its C^* -crossed product $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\tau} G$.
- Then we can check that

$$\text{Ind } \delta_e(C_0(G) \rtimes_{\tau} G) = \mathbb{K}(L^2(G))$$

where δ_e is the Dirac measure on $C_0(G)$ at the identity e of G .

Contents VII

- let us take the translation action τ of G on the C^* -algebra $C_0(G)$ of all complex valued continuous functions on G vanishing at infinity, which gives a C^* -dynamical system $(C_0(G), G, \tau)$. We take its C^* -crossed product $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\tau} G$.
- Then we can check that

$$\text{Ind } \delta_e(C_0(G) \rtimes_{\tau} G) = \mathbb{K}(L^2(G))$$

where δ_e is the Dirac measure on $C_0(G)$ at the identity e of G .

- Actually since $\bigoplus_{g \in G} \delta_e \circ \tau_g$ is faithful of $C_0(G)$ on $\bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathbb{C}$, so is $\text{Ind } \delta_e$ of $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\tau} G$ on $L^2(G)$.

Contents VIII

- Let us consider two C^* -dynamical systems $(A \otimes C_0(G), G, \alpha \otimes \tau)$ and $(A \otimes C_0(G), G, \iota \otimes \tau)$.
By taking the map:

$$\Phi(x)(g) = \alpha_g(x(g^{-1}h)), \quad (x \in A \otimes C_0(G))$$

these C^* -dynamical systems are equivalent, so that

$$(A \otimes C_0(G)) \rtimes_{\alpha \otimes \tau} G \simeq (A \otimes C_0(G)) \rtimes_{\iota \otimes \tau} G$$

by the following isomorphism:

$$\tilde{\Phi}(x)(g) = \Phi(x(g)), \quad (x \in L^1(G, A \otimes C_0(G))).$$

Contents IX

- We then consider another C^* -dynamical system $(A \otimes C^*(\hat{G}), G, \alpha \otimes \chi)$, where χ is the character action of G on $C^*(\hat{G})$ defined by

$$\chi_g(f)(p) = p(g)f(g)$$

$(f \in L^1(\hat{G}), g \in G, p \in \hat{G}) .$

Contents IX

- We then consider another C^* -dynamical system $(A \otimes C^*(\hat{G}), G, \alpha \otimes \chi)$, where χ is the character action of G on $C^*(\hat{G})$ defined by

$$\chi_g(f)(p) = p(g)f(g)$$

$$(f \in L^1(\hat{G}), g \in G, p \in \hat{G}).$$

- Then the two C^* -dynamical systems $(A \otimes C^*(\hat{G}), G, \alpha \otimes \chi)$, $(A \otimes C_0(G), G, \alpha \otimes \tau)$ are equivalent by the isomorphism \mathcal{F} defined by

$$\mathcal{F} = \text{Id}_A \otimes F,$$

where F is the extended Fourier inverse isomorphism from $C^*(\hat{G})$ onto $C_0(G)$.

Contents X

- This implies that

$$(A \otimes C^*(\hat{G})) \rtimes_{\alpha \otimes \chi} G \simeq (A \otimes C_0(G)) \rtimes_{\alpha \otimes \tau} G$$

by the isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(x)(g) &= \mathcal{F}(x(g)) \\ (x \in L^1(G, A \otimes C^*(\hat{G})), g \in G). \end{aligned}$$

Contents X

- This implies that

$$(A \otimes C^*(\hat{G})) \rtimes_{\alpha \otimes \chi} G \simeq (A \otimes C_0(G)) \rtimes_{\alpha \otimes \tau} G$$

by the isomorphism $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(x)(g) = \mathcal{F}(x(g))$$

$$(x \in L^1(G, A \otimes C^*(\hat{G})), g \in G).$$

- We then identify $(A \otimes C^*(\hat{G})) \rtimes_{\alpha \otimes \chi} G$ with $A \rtimes_{\iota} \hat{G} \rtimes_{\beta} G$,

where β is the action of G on $A \rtimes_{\iota} \hat{G}$ defined by

$$\beta_g(x)(p) = p(g)\alpha_g(x(p))$$

$$(x \in L^1(\hat{G}, A), g \in G, p \in \hat{G}).$$

Contents XI

- We now study another double C^* -crossed product $A \rtimes_{\iota} \hat{G} \rtimes_{\beta} G$ more precisely. As we have used before, let us take the double induced representation $\text{Ind}(\text{Ind } \pi)$ of $A \rtimes_{\iota} \hat{G} \rtimes_{\beta} G$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(G, L^2(\hat{G}))$, which we denote by π_2 .

Contents XI

- We now study another double C^* -crossed product $A \rtimes_{\iota} \hat{G} \rtimes_{\beta} G$ more precisely. As we have used before, let us take the double induced representation $\text{Ind}(\text{Ind } \pi)$ of $A \rtimes_{\iota} \hat{G} \rtimes_{\beta} G$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(G, L^2(\hat{G}))$, which we denote by π_2 .
- Fortunately, we can find a unitary U from $L^2(\hat{G}, L^2(G, H))$ onto $L^2(G, L^2(\hat{G}, H))$ such that $\pi_2(A \rtimes_{\iota} \hat{G} \rtimes_{\beta} G)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_1(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{G})$ under $\text{Ad}(U)$. Actually, the unitary U is gained by $U\xi(g, p) = \overline{p(g)}\xi(p, g)$, ($\xi \in L^2(\hat{G} \times G, H)$, $g \in G$, $p \in \hat{G}$).

Contents XII

- Finally, we obtain that

$$\Pi \circ \widehat{\hat{\alpha}} = (\alpha \otimes \text{Ad}(\lambda)) \circ \Pi$$

where

$$\Pi = \{\pi_2 \circ \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \circ \tilde{\Phi} \circ (\text{Id}_A \otimes \text{Ind } \delta_e)\}^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(U) \circ \pi_1 .$$

Contents XII

- Finally, we obtain that

$$\Pi \circ \widehat{\hat{\alpha}} = (\alpha \otimes \text{Ad}(\lambda)) \circ \Pi$$

where

$$\Pi = \{ \pi_2 \circ \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \circ \tilde{\Phi} \circ (\text{Id}_A \otimes \text{Ind } \delta_e) \}^{-1} \circ \text{Ad}(U) \circ \pi_1 .$$

- Summing up the argument discussed above, we conclude that Takesaki Conjecture for C*-crossed products is **affirmative**.

Contents XIII

- The result had been generalized in the case of any locally compact groups by Imai-T (78).

Contents XIII

- The result had been generalized in the case of any locally compact groups by Imai-T (78).
- The duality for twisted C^* -crossed products was obtained by Quigg (86).

Contents XIII

- The result had been generalized in the case of any locally compact groups by Imai-T (78).
- The duality for twisted C^* -crossed products was obtained by Quigg (86).
- The case for F^* -flows by Elliott-Natsume-Nest (88).

Contents XIII

- The result had been generalized in the case of any locally compact groups by Imai-T (78).
- The duality for twisted C^* -crossed products was obtained by Quigg (86).
- The case for F^* -flows by Elliott-Natsume-Nest (88).
- The case for Hilbert C^* -modules with locally compact abelian group actions by Kajiwara (00).

Contents XIII

- The result had been generalized in the case of any locally compact groups by Imai-T (78).
- The duality for twisted C^* -crossed products was obtained by Quigg (86).
- The case for F^* -flows by Elliott-Natsume-Nest (88).
- The case for Hilbert C^* -modules with locally compact abelian group actions by Kajiwara (00).
- The case for twisted induced C^* -crossed products by Bouwknecht-Hannabuss-Mathai (05,06).

Contents XIII

- The result had been generalized in the case of any locally compact groups by Imai-T (78).
- The duality for twisted C^* -crossed products was obtained by Quigg (86).
- The case for F^* -flows by Elliott-Natsume-Nest (88).
- The case for Hilbert C^* -modules with locally compact abelian group actions by Kajiwara (00).
- The case for twisted induced C^* -crossed products by Bouwknecht-Hannabuss-Mathai (05,06).
- The case for Hilbert C^* -modules with locally compact group actions by Abadie (07).

Contents XIV

- In my second talk, two important applications will be explained:

Contents XIV

- In my second talk, two important applications will be explained:
- The first one is applied to describe the so-called **Thom Isomorphism** for K-theory by Connes (81). Similarly as its dual version, that for periodic cyclic cohomology by Elliott-Natsume-Nest (88). They are quite useful for Noncommutative Index Theory.

Contents XIV

- In my second talk, two important applications will be explained:
- The first one is applied to describe the so-called **Thom Isomorphism** for K-theory by Connes (81). Similarly as its dual version, that for periodic cyclic cohomology by Elliott-Natsume-Nest (88). They are quite useful for Noncommutative Index Theory.
- In connection with Thom Isomorphism, we explain an equivalence relation between K-theory of twisted group C^* -algebras and twisted K-theory of $K(\pi, 1)$ -spaces under certain conditions due to

Contents XIV

- In my second talk, two important applications will be explained:
- The first one is applied to describe the so-called **Thom Isomorphism** for K-theory by Connes (81). Similarly as its dual version, that for periodic cyclic cohomology by Elliott-Natsume-Nest (88). They are quite useful for Noncommutative Index Theory.
- In connection with Thom Isomorphism, we explain an equivalence relation between K-theory of twisted group C^* -algebras and twisted K-theory of $K(\pi, 1)$ -spaces under certain conditions due to
- Packer-Raeburn (89,90),
Carey-Hannabuss-Mathai-McCann (98).

Contents XV

- The second one is applied to describe **T-Duality** in type II superstring theory due to

Contents XV

- The second one is applied to describe **T-Duality** in type II superstring theory due to
- Bouwknegt-Evslin-Mahtai (03,04),
Bouwknegt-Hannabuss-Mathai (04,05),
Mathai-Rosenberg (05,06),
Brodzki-Mathai-Rosenberg-Szabo (07,08),
Szabo (08).

References I

- B. Abadie, arXiv:OA/0709.1122, (2007),
H. Araki, Publ.R.I.M.S., (1973),
P. Bouwknegt-J. Evanslin-
V. Mathai, Comm.Math.Phy., (2003,2004),
P. Bouwknegt-K. Hannabuss-
V. Mathai, Comm.Math.Phy., (2005,2006),
J. Brodzki-V. Mathai-J. Rosenberg-
R.J. Szabo, arXiv:hep-th/0709.2128, (2007),
J. Brodzki-V. Mathai-J. Rosenberg-
R.J. Szabo, Comm.Math.Phy., (2008),
A. Carey-K. Hannabuss-V. Mathai-
P. McCann, Comm.Math.Phy., (1998),
A. Connes, Annal.Soc.Ecol.Norm.Sup.,(1973),
A. Connes, Adv.Math., (1981),

References II

- G.A.Elliott-T.Natsume-R.Nest, *Acta.Math.*, (1988)
S.Imai-H.Takai, *Jour.Math.Soc.Japan*, (1978),
T.Kajiwara, *International J.Math.*, (2000),
V.Mathai-J.Rosenberg, *Comm.Math.Phy.*, (2005),
V.Mathai-J.Rosenberg, *Adv.Th.Math.Phys.*, (2006),
T.Natsume-R.Nest, *Comm.Math.Phys.*, (1999),
J.Packer-I.Raeburn, *Math.Proc.Camb.Soc.*, (1989),
J.Packer-I.Raeburn, *Trans.A.M.S.*, (1990),
J.C.Quigg, *Indiana Math.J.*, (1986),
R.J.Szabo, *arXiv:hep-th/0809.3029*, (2008),
H.Takai, *C.R.Acad.Paris*,(1974),
H.Takai, *Jour.Func.Anal.*,(1975),
M.Takesaki, *Acta Math.*,(1973),

Takesaki-Takai Duality for Crossed Products II: Applications

Hiroshi TAKAI

takai@tmu.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University

Applications I

- In what follows, we explain two important applications for the duality of C^* -crossed products.

Applications I

- In what follows, we explain two important applications for the duality of C^* -crossed products.
- Let me remind all of you what this duality means once again:

Let (A, G, α) be a C^* -dynamical system with G a locally compact abelian group. Then there exists a dual C^* -dynamical system $(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G, \hat{G}, \hat{\alpha})$ such that the double dual C^* -dynamical system

$(A \rtimes_{\alpha} G \rtimes_{\hat{\alpha}} \hat{G}, G, \hat{\hat{\alpha}}) \simeq$ the tensor one
 $(A \otimes \mathbb{K}(L^2(G)), G, \alpha \otimes \text{Ad}(\lambda))$

where $\mathbb{K}(L^2(G))$ is the C^* -algebra of all compact operators on $L^2(G)$, and $\text{Ad}(\lambda)$ is the adjoint action of the left translation λ of G on $L^2(G)$.

Applications II

- One of the most important applications is perhaps **Thom isomorphism in K-theory** due to Connes (81), which precisely means that: let (A, \mathbb{R}, α) be a C^* -flow, and denote by $K_j(A)$ the K_j -group of A ($j=0,1$). Then he deduced using the duality for C^* -crossed product that

$$K_j(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R}) \simeq K_{j+1}(A) \pmod{2}$$

Applications II

- One of the most important applications is perhaps **Thom isomorphism in K-theory** due to Connes (81), which precisely means that: let (A, \mathbb{R}, α) be a C^* -flow, and denote by $K_j(A)$ the K_j -group of A ($j=0,1$). Then he deduced using the duality for C^* -crossed product that

$$K_j(A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{R}) \simeq K_{j+1}(A) \pmod{2}$$

- Thom Isomorphism in **Twisted K-theory** also seems to be existed by using Quigg (resp. Bouwknegt-Hannabuss-Mathai)'s duality results for twisted (resp.induced) C^* -crossed products.

Applications III

- Using F^* -flows, the dual version of Connes' Thom isomorphism was proved by Elliott-Natsume-Nest. In other words, let (A, \mathbb{R}, α) be a F^* -flow. Then the following result holds:

$H_\lambda^{ev}(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_\lambda^{od}(A)$, $H_\lambda^{od}(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_\lambda^{ev}(A)$
where H_λ means the periodic cyclic cohomology (88).

Applications III

- Using F^* -flows, the dual version of Connes' Thom isomorphism was proved by Elliott-Natsume-Nest. In other words, let (A, \mathbb{R}, α) be a F^* -flow. Then the following result holds:

$H_\lambda^{ev}(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_\lambda^{od}(A)$, $H_\lambda^{od}(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{R}) \simeq H_\lambda^{ev}(A)$
where H_λ means the periodic cyclic cohomology (88).

- As their corollary, let G be a simply connected solvable Lie group (ex. $G = H_{\mathbb{R}}^{2n+1}, M_{\mathbb{R}}^{2n+1}$) and (A, G, α) be a $C^*(F^*)$ -dynamical system. Then $K_i(H_\lambda^j)$ -theory of $A \rtimes_\alpha G$ is isomorphic to $K_{i+\dim G}(H_\lambda^{j+\dim G})$ -theory of A (mod 2), where $i = 0, 1$, $j = ev$ (od) respectively.

Applications IV

- We could state about it a little more than solvable cases. Namely, let $G = SO_0(n, 1), SU(n, 1)$ ($n \geq 1$) be the generalized Lorentz groups which are non amenable. But it is so-called **K-amenable** originally due to Cuntz (83) in discrete group cases, which was generalized to Lie group cases by Carey-Hannabuss-Mathai-McCann (98): Let G be a connected Lie group and K be its maximal compact subgroup such that G/K has a G -invariant spin^c structure, which induces the G -invariant Dirac operator ∂ on the Hilbert space $H = L^2(G/K, S)$ of all L^2 -sections of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded spinor bundles S over G/K .

Applications V

- Let $F = \partial(1 + \partial^2)^{-1/2}$ be the pseudo-differential operator of order 0 acting on H , and M be the canonical representation of $C_0(G/K)$ on H defined by $M_f\xi = f\xi$. Then the triple (H, M, F) induces an element $\alpha_G \in \text{KK}_G(C_0(G/K), \mathbb{C})$, which is called the Dirac element associated with G/K .

Applications V

- Let $F = \partial(1 + \partial^2)^{-1/2}$ be the pseudo-differential operator of order 0 acting on H , and M be the canonical representation of $C_0(G/K)$ on H defined by $M_f \xi = f\xi$. Then the triple (H, M, F) induces an element $\alpha_G \in \text{KK}_G(C_0(G/K), \mathbb{C})$, which is called the Dirac element associated with G/K .
- If G is semisimple, then there exists another canonical element $\beta_G \in \text{KK}_G(\mathbb{C}, C_0(G/K))$ with the property that
$$\alpha_G \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \beta_G = 1_{C_0(G/K)} \in \text{KK}_G(C_0(G/K), C_0(G/K))$$
$$\beta_G \otimes_{C_0(G/K)} \alpha_G = \gamma_G \in \text{KK}_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}),$$
which is called the Mishchenko element associated with G/K .

Applications VI

- Since G is semisimple, then the Killing form on G defines a G -invariant Riemannian metric of non-positive sectional curvature on G/K . Let $\mathcal{E} = C_0(G/K, S^*)$ be the G -invariant $C_0(G/K)$ -module consisting of all continuous sections of the dual spinor bundles S^* vanishing at infinity, and F be a bounded operator on \mathcal{E} defined as $F\xi(x) = c(v(x, x_0))\xi(x)$, where $v(x, x_0) \in T_x(G/K)$ is the unit vector which is tangent to the unique geodesic from x_0 to x and c means the Clifford multiplication. Then $v(x, x_0)$ is well defined outside a small neighborhood of x_0 can be extended continuously to all of G/K in any way.

Applications VII

- Then one sees that the triple (\mathcal{E}, id, F) induces the element $\beta_G \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C_0(G/K))$ with the property cited before.

Applications VII

- Then one sees that the triple (\mathcal{E}, id, F) induces the element $\beta_G \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C_0(G/K))$ with the property cited before.
- Kasparov (80) showed that if G is amenable, then $\gamma_G = 1$. Therefore we define that a Lie group G is K -amenable if $\gamma_G = 1$.

Applications VII

- Then one sees that the triple (\mathcal{E}, id, F) induces the element $\beta_G \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C_0(G/K))$ with the property cited before.
- Kasparov (80) showed that if G is amenable, then $\gamma_G = 1$. Therefore we define that a Lie group G is K-amenable if $\gamma_G = 1$.
- (Kasparov 80) $SO_0(n, 1)$, and
(Julg-Kasparov 95) $SU(n, 1)$ are K-amenable ($n \geq 1$).

Applications VIII

- (Carey-Hannabuss-Mathai-McCann 98) Let G be a K -amenable Lie group and K be its maximal compact subgroup. Suppose Γ is a lattice in G , then for any multiplier $\sigma \in H^2(\Gamma, U(1))$,

$$K_*(C^*(\Gamma, \sigma)) \simeq K_{\delta(B_\sigma)}^{*+\dim(G/K)}(\Gamma \backslash G/K)$$

where $C^*(\Gamma, \sigma)$ is the σ -twisted group C^* -algebra of Γ , $\delta(B_\sigma) \in H^3(\Gamma \backslash G/K)$ denotes the Dixmier-Douady invariant of a continuous trace C^* -algebra B_σ , and $K_{\delta(B_\sigma)}(\Gamma \backslash G/K)$ means the $\delta(B_\sigma)$ -twisted K -theory of $\Gamma \backslash G/K$.

Applications VIV

- We finally apply it to the case of the fundamental groups Γ_g of compact Riemann surfaces Σ_g with genus $g \geq 2$. Let $G = SO_0(2, 1)$, $K = SO(2)$ and $\Gamma_g \subset G$. Then it follows that

Applications VIV

- We finally apply it to the case of the fundamental groups Γ_g of compact Riemann surfaces Σ_g with genus $g \geq 2$. Let $G = SO_0(2, 1)$, $K = SO(2)$ and $\Gamma_g \subset G$. Then it follows that
- Given any $\sigma \in H^2(\Gamma_g, U(1))$, we have that
$$K_0(C_r^*(\Gamma_g, \sigma)) \simeq K^0(\Sigma_g) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2, \quad \text{and}$$
$$K_1(C_r^*(\Gamma_g, \sigma)) \simeq K^1(\Sigma_g) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$$
where $C_r^*(\Gamma_g, \sigma)$ means the reduced σ -twisted group C^* -algebra of Γ_g
(Carey-Hannabuss-Mathai-McCann 98, Natsume-Nest 99).

Applications X

- We next apply the duality for C^* -crossed products (resp. twisted ones) to a new description of type II string **T-Duality** without (resp. with) NS H-flux.

T-duality is actually a symmetry of string theory relating small and large distances, which is a generalization of the $R \rightarrow 1/R$ invariance of string theory compactified on a circle of radius R . In the case of superstring theory, type IIA-string theory can be shifted to type IIB-one and vice versa by T-duality. Moreover, type II and heterotic theories also mutually change under T-duality.

Applications X

- We next apply the duality for C^* -crossed products (resp. twisted ones) to a new description of type II string **T-Duality** without (resp. with) NS H-flux. T-duality is actually a symmetry of string theory relating small and large distances, which is a generalization of the $R \rightarrow 1/R$ invariance of string theory compactified on a circle of radius R . In the case of superstring theory, type IIA-string theory can be shifted to type IIB-one and vice versa by T-duality. Moreover, type II and heterotic theories also mutually change under T-duality.
- (Case I, Absence of NS H-flux): Let $X = M \times \mathbb{T}^n$ be a spacetime without a background H-flux.

Applications XI

- In this case, the T-dual space \hat{X} is nothing but $\hat{X} = M \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n$, where $\hat{\mathbb{T}}^n = (\mathbb{R}^n)^* / \Lambda^*$ is the dual torus to $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda$ where Λ is a lattice of maximal rank in \mathbb{R}^n and Λ^* its dual lattice.

Applications XI

- In this case, the T-dual space \hat{X} is nothing but $\hat{X} = M \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n$, where $\hat{\mathbb{T}}^n = (\mathbb{R}^n)^* / \Lambda^*$ is the dual torus to $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda$ where Λ is a lattice of maximal rank in \mathbb{R}^n and Λ^* its dual lattice.
- This situation is interpreted algebraically as follows: Let us take the \mathbb{R}^n -action φ on X by $\varphi|_M = \text{id}$, $\varphi|_{\mathbb{T}^n} = \text{translations}$. Then $\hat{X} = \text{Spec}(C_0(X) \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{R}^n)$, because $C_0(X) \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{R}^n$ is Morita equivalent to $C_0(\hat{X})$.

Applications XI

- In this case, the T-dual space \hat{X} is nothing but $\hat{X} = M \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n$, where $\hat{\mathbb{T}}^n = (\mathbb{R}^n)^* / \Lambda^*$ is the dual torus to $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \Lambda$ where Λ is a lattice of maximal rank in \mathbb{R}^n and Λ^* its dual lattice.
- This situation is interpreted algebraically as follows: Let us take the \mathbb{R}^n -action φ on X by $\varphi|_M = \text{id}$, $\varphi|_{\mathbb{T}^n} = \text{translations}$. Then $\hat{X} = \text{Spec}(C_0(X) \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{R}^n)$, because $C_0(X) \rtimes_{\varphi} \mathbb{R}^n$ is Morita equivalent to $C_0(\hat{X})$.
- Using the duality for C^* -crossed products, one knows that $\widehat{\hat{X}} = X$, which implies that T-duality map: $X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is invertible in $\text{KK}(X, \hat{X})$.

Applications XII

- Let me explain more precisely how T-duality maps are interpreted in $KK(X, \hat{X})$ as a topological version of the Fourier-Mukai transform in coherent sheaves of derived categories over abelian varieties in what follows:

Applications XII

- Let me explain more precisely how T-duality maps are interpreted in $KK(X, \hat{X})$ as a topological version of the Fourier-Mukai transform in coherent sheaves of derived categories over abelian varieties in what follows:
- Let \mathcal{P}_0 be the Poincaré line bundle over $\mathbb{T}^n \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n$, namely the unique line bundle with the property that $\mathcal{P}_0|_{\mathbb{T}^n \times \{\hat{t}\}} \in \text{Pic}^0(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is the flat line bundle over \mathbb{T}^n for all $\hat{t} \in \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n$ and $\mathcal{P}_0|_{\{0\} \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n} = \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n \times \mathbb{C}$.

Applications XII

- Let me explain more precisely how T-duality maps are interpreted in $KK(X, \hat{X})$ as a topological version of the Fourier-Mukai transform in coherent sheaves of derived categories over abelian varieties in what follows:
- Let \mathcal{P}_0 be the Poincaré line bundle over $\mathbb{T}^n \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n$, namely the unique line bundle with the property that $\mathcal{P}_0|_{\mathbb{T}^n \times \{\hat{t}\}} \in \text{Pic}^0(\mathbb{T}^n)$ is the flat line bundle over \mathbb{T}^n for all $\hat{t} \in \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n$ and $\mathcal{P}_0|_{\{0\} \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n} = \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n \times \mathbb{C}$.
- Let $\mathcal{P} = p^*(\mathcal{P}_0)$ be the pull back bundle of \mathcal{P}_0 to $M \times \mathbb{T}^n \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n$, where p is the projection from $M \times \mathbb{T}^n \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n$ to $\mathbb{T}^n \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n$.

Applications XIII

- Then the T-duality isomorphism $T!$ from $K^*(X)$ to $K^{*+n}(\hat{X})$ is defined by

$$T!(E) = p_2!(p_1^*(E) \otimes_{X \times \hat{T}^n} \mathcal{P})$$

for all $E \in K^*(X)$, where p_1, p_2 are the projection from $X \times \hat{T}^n$ to X, \hat{X} respectively, $p_1^*(E)$ is the pullback bundle of E to $X \times \hat{T}^n$, and $p_2!$ is the pushforward map from $K^*(X \times \hat{T}^n)$ to $K^*(\hat{X})$

Applications XIII

- Then the T-duality isomorphism $T!$ from $K^*(X)$ to $K^{*+n}(\hat{X})$ is defined by

$$T!(E) = p_2!(p_1^*(E) \otimes_{X \times \hat{T}^n} \mathcal{P})$$

for all $E \in K^*(X)$, where p_1, p_2 are the projection from $X \times \hat{T}^n$ to X, \hat{X} respectively, $p_1^*(E)$ is the pullback bundle of E to $X \times \hat{T}^n$, and $p_2!$ is the pushforward map from $K^*(X \times \hat{T}^n)$ to $K^*(\hat{X})$

- Taking the transpose monomorphism p_1^* of p_1 from $C_0(X)$ into $C_0(X \times \hat{T}^n)$, one easily has a KK-elements $p_1^* \in KK^{*+n}(X, X \times \hat{T}^n)$. Since p_2 is K-oriented, it follows from Karoubi-Kasparov that $p_2! \in KK^*(X \times \hat{T}^n, \hat{X})$.

Applications XIV

- Since the pullback bundle \mathcal{P} of the Poincaré line bundle \mathcal{P}_0 to $X \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n$ can be considered as in $\text{End}(K^*(X \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n))$ by taking the Kasparov product, which is nothing more than $KK^*(X \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n, X \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n)$ up to equivalence relations.

Applications XIV

- Since the pullback bundle \mathcal{P} of the Poincaré line bundle \mathcal{P}_0 to $X \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n$ can be considered as in $\text{End}(K^*(X \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n))$ by taking the Kasparov product, which is nothing more than $KK^*(X \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n, X \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n)$ up to equivalence relations.
- We then identify the T-duality map $T!$ with

$$T! = p_1^* \otimes_{X \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n} \otimes \mathcal{P} \otimes_{X \times \hat{\mathbb{T}}^n} p_2! \in KK^{*+n}(X, \hat{X}),$$

(mod 2), which is invertible with respect to the Kasparov product

Applications XV

- ((Case II, Presence of NS H-flux): Let us take $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ a principal \mathbb{T} -bundle over a smooth manifold M with a H-flux $[H] \in H^3(E, \mathbb{Z})$. Then there exists a unique bundle $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow E$ whose Dixmier-Douady invariant $\delta(\mathcal{E}) = [H]$. So its continuous trace C^* -algebra $CT(\mathcal{E}, [H])$ satisfies $\delta(CT(\mathcal{E}, [H])) = [H]$, $\text{Spec}(CT(\mathcal{E}, [H])) = E$.

Applications XV

- ((Case II, Presence of NS H-flux): Let us take $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ a principal \mathbb{T} -bundle over a smooth manifold M with a H-flux $[H] \in H^3(E, \mathbb{Z})$. Then there exists a unique bundle $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow E$ whose Dixmier-Douady invariant $\delta(\mathcal{E}) = [H]$. So its continuous trace C^* -algebra $CT(\mathcal{E}, [H])$ satisfies $\delta(CT(\mathcal{E}, [H])) = [H]$, $\text{Spec}(CT(\mathcal{E}, [H])) = E$.
- Let \hat{E} be a T-dual of E , namely a principal \hat{T} -bundle over M : $\hat{T} \rightarrow \hat{E} \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} M$ such that its first Chern class $c_1(\hat{E}) = \pi!([H])$, where $\pi!$ is the pushforward map: $H^3(E, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$.

Applications XVI

- Then one can define a T-dual H-flux $[\hat{H}] \in H^3(\hat{E}, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $c_1(E) = \hat{\pi}!(\hat{H})$ and $[H] = [\hat{H}] \in H^3(E \times_M \hat{E}, \mathbb{Z})$, where $E \times_M \hat{E}$ is the fibered product of E and \hat{E} . Then it also induces the continuous trace C^* -algebra $CT(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, [\hat{H}])$ with the same property as stated before.

Applications XVI

- Then one can define a T-dual H-flux $[\hat{H}] \in H^3(\hat{E}, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $c_1(E) = \hat{\pi}!(\hat{H})$ and $[H] = [\hat{H}] \in H^3(E \times_M \hat{E}, \mathbb{Z})$, where $E \times_M \hat{E}$ is the fibered product of E and \hat{E} . Then it also induces the continuous trace C^* -algebra $CT(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, [\hat{H}])$ with the same property as stated before.
- Since the fibers of E are \mathbb{T}^1 , there exists a C^* -dynamical system $(CT(\mathcal{E}, [H]), \mathbb{R}, \varphi^*)$, where φ is the canonical action of \mathbb{R} on E .

Applications XVI

- Then one can define a T-dual H-flux $[\hat{H}] \in H^3(\hat{E}, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $c_1(E) = \hat{\pi}!(\hat{H})$ and $[H] = [\hat{H}] \in H^3(E \times_M \hat{E}, \mathbb{Z})$, where $E \times_M \hat{E}$ is the fibered product of E and \hat{E} . Then it also induces the continuous trace C^* -algebra $CT(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, [\hat{H}])$ with the same property as stated before.
- Since the fibers of E are \mathbb{T}^1 , there exists a C^* -dynamical system $(CT(\mathcal{E}, [H]), \mathbb{R}, \varphi^*)$, where φ is the canonical action of \mathbb{R} on E .
- Then its C^* -crossed product $CT(\mathcal{E}, [H]) \rtimes_{\varphi^*} \mathbb{R}$ is Morita equivalent to $CT(\hat{\mathcal{E}}, [\hat{H}])$, which implies that $\hat{E} = \text{Spec}(CT(\mathcal{E}, [H]) \rtimes_{\varphi^*} \mathbb{R})$.

Applications XVI

- By using again the duality for C^* -crossed products, one deduces that $\widehat{\widehat{E}} = E$ under this situation.

Applications XVI

- By using again the duality for C^* -crossed products, one deduces that $\widehat{\widehat{E}} = E$ under this situation.
- The T-dual mapping $T! : E \rightarrow \widehat{E}$ is also obtained as an invertible element of $KK_1(\text{CT}(\mathcal{E}, [H]), \text{CT}(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}, [\widehat{H}]))$ by passing through a noncommutative Poincaré projective module over $\text{CT}(E \times_M \widehat{E})$.

Applications XVI

- By using again the duality for C^* -crossed products, one deduces that $\widehat{\widehat{E}} = E$ under this situation.
- The T-dual mapping $T! : E \rightarrow \widehat{E}$ is also obtained as an invertible element of $KK_1(\text{CT}(\mathcal{E}, [H]), \text{CT}(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}, [\widehat{H}]))$ by passing through a noncommutative Poincaré projective module over $\text{CT}(E \times_M \widehat{E})$.
- What happens the T-duality phenomena in the case of \mathbb{T}^n -bundles for $n \geq 2$?

Applications XVI

- In these cases, quite difficult situation might be occurred. For instance, if $[H]|E_x = 0$ for all $x \in M$, then the \mathbb{R}^n -action φ on E can lift to \mathcal{E} , which induces an action φ^* on $\text{CT}(\mathcal{E}, [H])$. Then the T-dual \hat{E} of E can be defined by $\text{Spec}(\text{CT}(\mathcal{E}, [H]) \rtimes_{\varphi^*} \mathbb{R}^n)$, which is no longer of continuous trace classes. Actually, they are of continuous trace class if and only if $[x \in M \rightarrow [H]|E_x] = 0 \in H^1(M, H^2(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{Z}))$.

Applications XVI

- In these cases, quite difficult situation might be occurred. For instance, if $[H]|_{E_x} = 0$ for all $x \in M$, then the \mathbb{R}^n -action φ on E can lift to \mathcal{E} , which induces an action φ^* on $\text{CT}(\mathcal{E}, [H])$. Then the T-dual \hat{E} of E can be defined by $\text{Spec}(\text{CT}(\mathcal{E}, [H]) \rtimes_{\varphi^*} \mathbb{R}^n)$, which is no longer of continuous trace classes. Actually, they are of continuous trace class if and only if $[x \in M \rightarrow [H]|_{E_x}] = 0 \in H^1(M, H^2(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathbb{Z}))$.
- In general cases, $\text{CT}(\mathcal{E}, [H]) \rtimes_{\varphi^*} \mathbb{R}^n$ may be viewed as the continuous sections of a continuous field of stable noncommutative n-tori on M . (Brodzki-Mathai-Rosenberg-Szabo 08).

References I

- J.Brodzki-V.Mathai-J.Rosenberg-
R.J.Szabo, *Comm.Math.Phys.*, (2008),
A.Connes, *Adv.Math.*, (1981),
A.L.Carey-K.C.Hannabuss-V.Mathai-
P.McCann, *Comm.Math.Phys.*, (1998),
J.Cuntz, *J.Reine.Angew.Math.*, (1983),
P.Julg-G.Kasparov, *J.Reine.Angew.Math.*, (1995),
G.Kasparov, *Conspectus.*, (1980),
T.Natsume-R.Nest, *Comm.Math.Phys.*, (1999),